

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,604	03/14/2000	KAZUHIRO TAKAHASHI	DAIN550	9144
7	590 07/30/2002			
PARKHURST WENDEL 1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210			ЕХАМП	NER
			NGUYEN, KI	NGUYEN, KIMBERLY T
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314-2895		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\		4512
	Application No.	plicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/508,604	TÅKAHASHI ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia H Kelly/Nguyen	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this appl : (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tin	ication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in took that it is than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amount of the data that the data that the corresponding amount of the data that the data t	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 July 2002. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37)	ppellant's Brief must be filed with CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	in the period set forth in of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:	t for reconsideration has been con See Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmexplanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entered or swould be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered and an elow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14-22 and 24-41</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 12

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not shown that the manning reference does not have radiation ionizing curable layers. Manning uses polyurethanes of polyisocyanates just as Skinner. These are ionizing radiation curable and one of ordianry skill in the art would recognize that the polyurethanes are ionizing radiation curable. The rejection is maintained.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATERS EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700